

ANNEX 1: EVALUATION MATRIX

CRITERIA	EVALUATION QUESTION	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCES
RELEVANCE	<p>EQ1: To what extent is the project design culturally, medically and contextually relevant in each site?</p> <p>EQ2: To what extent is the project implementation (initial and ongoing) culturally, medically and contextually relevant in each site?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stakeholder perceptions of alignment of project objectives and site specific contextual, cultural and medical needs. • Documentary evidence of alignment of project objectives and site specific contextual, cultural and medical needs. • Stakeholder perceptions of alignment of project implementation (initial and ongoing) and site specific contextual, cultural and medical needs. • Documentary evidence of alignment of project implementation (initial and ongoing) and site specific contextual, cultural and medical needs. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document review • Key informant interviews
COHERENCE	<p>EQ3: How compatible are the project activities with other similar activities in the organization?</p> <p>EQ4: How compatible are the project activities with other similar activities in the region?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentary evidence of the fit/linkage of project activities with ongoing MSF activities/projects. • Stakeholder perceptions of the fit/linkage of project activities with ongoing MSF activities/projects. • Documentary evidence of the fit/linkage of project activities with ongoing non-MSF activities/projects. • Stakeholder perceptions of the fit/linkage of project activities with ongoing non-MSF activities/projects. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document review • Key informant interviews

EFFECTIVENESS	EQ5: To what extent have the projects achieved their expected results?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentary evidence of project results and comparison with expected outcomes in log frame. • Stakeholder perceptions of the project outcomes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document review • Key informant interviews • Field visits
EFFICIENCY	EQ6: To what extent did the projects utilize resources satisfactorily?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentary evidence of resource (human, financial, time, etc.) allocation and use over time. • Stakeholder perceptions of adequacy of resources (human, financial, time, etc.) and their allocation and use over time. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document review • Key informant interviews • Field visits
IMPACT	<p>EQ7: What has been the impact of the project on stakeholders (patients, staff, community)?</p> <p>EQ8: To what extent will the impact of the project likely to continue in the future?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentary evidence of project results. • Stakeholder perceptions of intended and unintended impacts of project. • Stakeholder perceptions of continued impacts of the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document review • Key informant interviews • Field visits
LESSONS LEARNT	EQ9: What lessons can be learned for similar projects in the future?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stakeholder perceptions of lessons learned and what can be replicated in other contexts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document review • Key informant interviews • Field visits